Response to "Insights on Effectiveness of a cardiac rehabilitation program in women with heart failure"

Andreia Campinas

PII: \$0870-2551(25)00350-6

DOI: https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.repc.2025.12.001

Reference: REPC 2510

To appear in: Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia

Received Date: 2 December 2025

Please cite this article as: Campinas A, Response to "Insights on Effectiveness of a cardiac rehabilitation program in women with heart failure", *Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia* (2025), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.repc.2025.12.001

This is a PDF of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form. As such, this version is no longer the Accepted Manuscript, but it is not yet the definitive Version of Record; we are providing this early version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that Elsevier's sharing policy for the Published Journal Article applies to this version, see: https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies-and-standards/sharing#4-published-journal-article. Please also note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2025 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia.

Response to "Insights on Effectiveness of a cardiac rehabilitation program in women with heart

failure"

Resposto a "Perceções sobre a eficácia de um programa de reabilitação cardíaca em mulheres

com insuficiência cardíaca"

Andreia Campinas*1

¹ Cardiology Department of Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Santo António (CHUdSA), Porto,

Portugal

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5662-8433

E-mail: u12979@chporto.min-saude.pt

*Corresponding Author: Andreia Campinas

E-mail address: u12979@chporto.min-saude.pt

To the Editor,

We sincerely thank Aphale et al. for their interest in our study "Effectiveness of a cardiac

rehabilitation program in women with heart failure" (Rev Port Cardiol. 2025;44:525-32).

We acknowledge that the female subgroup represented a smaller proportion of the total sample,

however; all participants were consecutively enrolled and completed a standardized, supervised

program, thereby enhancing internal validity. As stated, given the limited sample size, stratified

subgroup analyses by sex lacked sufficient statistical power to draw definitive conclusions.

Nonetheless, we agree that future studies should explore phenotype-specific exercise responses,

particularly given the higher prevalence of heart failure (HF) with preserved ejection fraction among

women.

Regarding the improvement in ventilatory efficiency observed exclusively in women, this finding may

reflect sex-related physiological adaptations. However, the differences may also be linked to the small

sample size. We highlighted this point in the discussion and agree that mechanistic exploration

through larger, adequately powered cohorts would be valuable.

Considering the adherence rate, our center-based, multidisciplinary model may not fully reflect real-world barriers, particularly for women. The high adherence rate observed in our study may be related to close supervision and individualized program, both strategies are known to enhance adherence. In addition, selection bias cannot be excluded, as only women referred by clinicians were included, underscoring the importance of clinician engagement in promoting participation in CR.

Finally, regarding the QoL assessment, the MLHFQ was chosen for its broad validation across studies.² Nevertheless, we recognize that additional patient-reported outcome measures could provide broader insights into psychosocial domains, particularly those relevant in women. Integrating such tools will strengthen future research.

We share Aphale et al.'s view that increasing female participation, stratifying outcomes by HF phenotype, and integrating gender-sensitive rehabilitation strategies are crucial to ensuring a more comprehensive rehabilitation response in women.

Funding and disclosures

None.

Declaration of Competing Interest

None.

Declaration Of Generative AI

The author declare that AI-based language assistance was used solely for grammar refinement. All intellectual content, interpretation, and conclusion are the sole responsibility of the author.

References

- 1. Smith JR, Thomas RJ, Bonikowske AR, Hammer SM, Olson TP. Sex Differences in Cardiac Rehabilitation Outcomes. *Circ Res.* 2022;130(4):552-565. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.319894
- 2. Rector TS, Kubo SH, Cohn JN. Validity of the minnesota living with heart failure questionnaire as a measure of therapeutic response to enalapril or placebo. *Am J Cardiol*. 1993;71(12):1106-1107. doi:10.1016/0002-9149(93)90582-W