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Importance of cardiac implantable electronic devices in the diagnosis of sleep apnea syndrome☆
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Abstract
Introduction: Sleep apnea syndrome (SAS) is a common respiratory disorder that is particularly prevalent in patients with cardiovascular disease. Diagnosis is based on polysomnography. In patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices (CIEDs), the prevalence of SAS may reach 60%. The aim of this study was to assess the value of CIEDs in screening for SAS.
Methods: This prospective study included patients with CIEDs with an algorithm for sleep apnea. The frequency response function was activated and simplified polysomnography was performed. The device’s data were collected on the day of the polygraph.
Results: The sample included 29 patients, with a mean age of 76.1 years, 71.4% male. The prevalence of SAS was 77%. For SAS, the agreement between polysomnography and the device was kappa = 0.54 (p = 0.001, 95% CI 0.28-0.81) (moderate agreement); for moderate to severe SAS, the agreement was kappa = 0.73 (p < 0.001, 95% CI 0.49-0.976) (substantial agreement). The following values were obtained for severe SAS: sensitivity 60%, specificity 100%, positive predictive value (PPV) 100%, negative predictive value (NPV) 60%, and diagnostic accuracy 75%; for moderate to severe SAS: sensitivity 90%, specificity 83%, PPV 90%, NPV 87.5%, and diagnostic accuracy 87.5%.
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Conclusion: SAS is highly prevalent in patients with CIEDs. The values obtained through these devices have a strong positive correlation with the apnea-hypopnea index, which makes them a good tool for the screening of severe SAS.
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Introduction

Sleep apnea syndrome (SAS) is a common respiratory disorder that is caused by intermittent collapse of the airway during sleep, interrupting (apnea) or decreasing (hypopnea) normal respiration. The number of apnea or hypopnea events/hour is used to calculate the apnea/hypopnea index (AHI) and, when associated with respiratory-effort related arousals, the respiratory disturbance index.1

The cause of sleep apnea may be obstructive (more common) or central, the latter being diagnosed when the number of respiratory events of central cause is more than 50% of the total number of events detected on polysomnography (PSG).1

Studies on SAS have shown that the prevalence of AHI >5 events/hour and AHI >15 can reach 20% and over 15%, respectively, in the adult population.2 In individuals aged over 70 years, prevalences of obstructive sleep apnea as high as 20% have been reported,3 while in patients with cardiovascular disease, the prevalence of sleep apnea can range between 47% and 83%, depending on the specific disorder surveyed.4 In patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices (CIEDs), the prevalence of SAS may reach nearly 60%.5

The aim of this study was to assess the value of CIEDs in screening for SAS.

Methods

The sample included 29 patients with CIEDs (pacemakers, implantable cardioverter-defibrillators [ICDs] and cardiac resynchronization [CRT] devices) implanted between January 2013 and January 2015 that included a sleep apnea/hypopnea monitoring algorithm (Kora™ 100 DR and Reply™ 200 DR, LivaNova; Vitalio™, Incepta™ CRT-D, Incepta™ ICD and INVIVE™, Boston Scientific).

The study was authorized by the hospital’s ethics committee and patients’ informed consent was obtained before their inclusion in the study. Patients’ demographic, anthropometric and clinical data were collected.

The LivaNova devices are equipped with a sleep apnea monitoring (SAM) algorithm that detects apneas (defined as a period of 10-60 s between respiratory cycles) and hypopneas
(defined as a reduction of 50% or more in respiratory amplitude). The SAM algorithm is able to identify individuals with severe SAS, defined as those with more than 20 events/hour (equivalent to AHI >30/hour), in accordance with the results of the DREAM study.6

The devices from Boston Scientific used in this study detect apnea and hypopnea events using ApneaScan™ and AP Scan™, which can also identify patients with severe SAS, using a cut-off of 30 events/hour (equivalent to AHI >30/hour).

Patients underwent simplified PSG with the ApneaLink Plus™ device (ResMed Corporation, Poway, CA), equipped with an effort sensor, nasal pressure cannula and oximetry, and with cardiopulmonary monitoring facilities including heart rate and peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO2). Apnea and hypopnea events were quantified and snoring events and Cheyne-Stokes breathing were identified.

The results were assessed in all cases by the same team in the pneumology department. Apnea events were defined as those in which there was no respiratory flow for 10 s or more, while hypopnea events were defined as a reduction of 30% or more in amplitude in one respiratory cycle accompanied by a fall of at least 3% in SpO2.

A diagnosis of SAS was made in the presence of AHI >5 associated with characteristic symptoms or increased cardiovascular risk,7 or of AHI >15 without symptoms.

Following observation by the pneumology team and resolution of doubtful cases by type 2 (outpatient) PSG, patients with a confirmed diagnosis of SAS were started on positive pressure therapy (continuous positive airway pressure [CPAP], bilevel positive airway pressure or adaptive servoventilation) in accordance with international guidelines and the specific disorder identified. They were monitored by their CIED to determine adherence to therapy.

Results

Of the 29 patients in the study, 21 were male (71.4%) and eight female (27.6%). Mean age was 76.1±9.8 years and mean body mass index (BMI) was 26.8±4.2 kg/m². Table 1 displays the prevalence of comorbidities and medical therapy prescribed in the study population. Hypertension was the most frequent comorbidity, and 63.3% of patients had normal left ventricular systolic function on echocardiographic assessment. The CIEDs implanted were a permanent pacemaker in 23 patients (for sinus node dysfunction in 13 cases and atioventricular conduction disturbances in the other eight, all dual-chamber devices in DDDR mode), a CRT-D in five patients, and a dual-chamber ICD in one. Fifteen of the devices were from LivaNova and 14 were from Boston Scientific.

Analysis of the device readings showed that in four patients no events were recorded, seven had <20 events/hour (LivaNova) or <30 events/hour (Boston Scientific) and 18 had ≥20/30 events/hour (Table 2).

On the PSG study, 18 patients (62%) had AHI <15/hour, 20 (68.9%) had AHI 15-30/hour and 11 (37.9%) had AHI >30/hour.

Joint analysis of data from the devices and from the PSG study (Table 3) shows that the 11 patients who had AHI ≥30/hour all had ≥20/30/hour recorded by their CIEDs. However, in seven cases the PSG result was <30/hour, while the device reading was ≥20/30/hour. For SAS, the agreement between polysomnography and the device was kappa=0.54 (p=0.001, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.28-0.81) (moderate agreement).
Assessment of the agreement between the two methods shows that of the 20 patients with AHI >15/hour on PSG (moderate to severe SAS), 18 had ≥20/30 events/hour recorded by their device. Two patients with AHI <15/hour on PSG also had ≥20/30 events/hour recorded by their device. Agreement between PSG and the device was κappa=0.73 (p<0.001, 95% CI 0.49-0.976) (substantial agreement).

When device values of ≥20/30/hour were correlated with PSG >30/hour, the following values were obtained: sensitivity 60%, specificity 100%, positive predictive value (PPV) 100%, negative predictive value (NPV) 60%, and diagnostic accuracy 75%; for PSG >15/hour: sensitivity 90%, specificity 83%, PPV 90%, NPV 87.5%, and diagnostic accuracy 87.5%. These figures are in line with those in the literature and highlight the role of CIEDs in screening for moderate to severe SAS, which has significant implications for diagnosis, treatment and quality of life.

The high prevalence of SAS in the population with CIEDs has two implications. Firstly, cardiologists need to be more aware of the need to screen for this syndrome and to confirm the diagnosis by PSG and, in patients with CIEDs that include detection algorithms, by analyzing event records from these devices and making this important information, which is often undervalued, available to the attending cardiologist. Secondly, the ability to monitor patients via their CIED after beginning positive pressure therapy is of considerable clinical utility for assessing patient adherence to therapy, since some studies have demonstrated levels of adherence as low as 65-80%.

Although the data are inconclusive, some studies have proposed enhancing device programming to help reduce apnea/hypopnea events. A 2009 meta-analysis of studies evaluating atrial overdrive pacing for the treatment of SAS found conflicting results, some studies showing the therapy to be effective and others showing no effect. Garrique et al. showed that atrial overdrive pacing at 15 bpm faster than mean nocturnal baseline heart rate reduced the AHI by 60%. Two mechanisms have been put forward to explain this effect. One is that overdrive pacing increases cardiac output and thereby decreases pulmonary congestion, improving breathing frequency. The other is that it counteracts nocturnal hypervagotonia by influencing cardiac sympathetic afferent neurons, thus stabilizing respiration. However, this study showed that individuals without signs of heart failure and without indication for anti-bradycardiac pacemaking did not benefit from atrial overdrive pacing, and that those with central sleep apnea benefited more from this therapy.

CIEDs with the ability to detect apnea events thus appear to be a cost-effective alternative to diagnose and, potentially, to treat SAS.

**Conclusions**

SAS is highly prevalent in patients with CIEDs. Several of these devices (at present from only two manufacturers) are equipped with algorithms that can record apnea/hypopnea events and can thereby identify patients who should be referred for full PSG to confirm a diagnosis of SAS. The present study has shown a significant correlation between readings from these CIEDs and the results of PSG.
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