

Revista Portuguesa de Cardiologia Portuguese Journal of Cardiology www.revportcardiol.org



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Can my echo work as a crystal ball? – Echocardiographic parameters predicting residual pulmonary hypertension after pulmonary endarterectomy



João Mirinha Luz<sup>a,\*</sup>, Filipa Ferreira<sup>a,b</sup>, Sofia Alegria<sup>a,b</sup>, Ana Cláudia Vieira<sup>b,c</sup>, Bárbara Ferreira<sup>a,b</sup>, Débora Repolho<sup>a,b</sup>, Ana Rita Francisco<sup>d</sup>, Bruno Neves<sup>d</sup>, Isabel João<sup>a,d</sup>, Hélder Pereira<sup>a,e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Cardiology Department, Hospital Garcia de Orta, ULS Almada-Seixal, Portugal

<sup>b</sup> Pulmonary Hypertension Unit, Hospital Garcia de Orta, ULS Almada-Seixal, Portugal

<sup>c</sup> Pulmonology Department, Hospital Garcia de Orta, ULS Almada-Seixal, Portugal

<sup>d</sup> Advanced Echocardiography Laboratory, Hospital Garcia de Orta, ULS Almada-Seixal, Portugal

<sup>e</sup> CCUL, CAML, Lisbon University, Lisbon, Portugal

Received 12 March 2025; accepted 3 April 2025 Available online 11 April 2025

# **KEYWORDS**

Pulmonary hypertension; Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension; Right heart; Echocardiography; Pulmonary endarterectomy; Residual pulmonary hypertension

#### Abstract

Introduction and objectives: Pulmonary endarterectomy should be considered in all patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Twenty five percent of patients maintain pulmonary hypertension after pulmonary endarterectomy, with therapeutic and prognostic implications. We aimed to evaluate echocardiographic parameters at diagnosis as predictors for development of residual pulmonary hypertension.

*Methods:* Retrospective, observational, unicentric study of patients with confirmed chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension who underwent pulmonary endarterectomy between January 2010 and October 2024. All patients underwent transthoracic echocardiogram at diagnosis. After pulmonary endarterectomy, patients had a right heart catheterization to exclude residual pulmonary hypertension (mean pulmonary artery pressure  $\geq$ 30 mmHg). Right heart echocardiographic parameters were assessed and compared.

*Results*: Thirty-nine patients had chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension and underwent pulmonary endarterectomy during the follow-up period. Mean age at diagnosis was 57.3 years-old. Eighteen patients had documented residual pulmonary hypertension. Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (p=0.010), end-diastolic right ventricular area (p<0.001), end-systolic right ventricular area (p<0.001), fractional area change (p=0.006), tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion/pulmonary artery systolic pressure ratio (p=0.002), diastolic (p=0.002)

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail address*: jmirinhaluz@gmail.com (J. Mirinha Luz).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.repc.2025.04.001

0870-2551/© 2025 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

and systolic eccentric ratio (p=0.036) were significantly different between the two groups. End-systolic right ventricular area and end-diastolic right ventricular area were independently associated with residual pulmonary hypertension (p=0.023 and p=0.013), and those with end-diastolic right ventricular area above 27.13 cm<sup>2</sup> (area under the curve [AUC] 0.88, sensitivity 89%, specificity 85%, odds ratio 44) and end-systolic right ventricular area >19.54 cm<sup>2</sup> (AUC 0.875, sensitivity 88%, specificity 85%, odds ratio 38.5) had higher probability of developing residual pulmonary hypertension after pulmonary endarterectomy.

*Conclusion:* This study shows that certain echocardiographic parameters could be predictors of development of residual pulmonary hypertension after pulmonary endarterectomy; however, validation in larger cohorts is mandatory.

© 2025 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### Pode o meu ecocardiógrafo ser uma bola de cristal? – Parâmetros ecocardiográficos preditores de hipertensão pulmonar residual após endarterectomia pulmonar

#### Resumo

*Introdução e objetivos*: A endarterectomia pulmonar deve ser considerada em todos os doentes com hipertensão pulmonar tromboembólica crónica. No entanto, 25% dos doentes mantêm hipertensão pulmonar após a endarterectomia pulmonar, com implicações terapêuticas e prognósticas. O nosso objetivo foi avaliar parâmetros ecocardiográficos no diagnóstico como preditores do desenvolvimento de hipertensão pulmonar residual.

*Métodos:* Estudo retrospetivo, observacional e unicêntrico de doentes com diagnóstico confirmado de hipertensão pulmonar tromboembólica crónica submetidos a endarterectomia pulmonar entre janeiro de 2010 e outubro de 2024. Todos os doentes realizaram ecocardiograma transtorácico no momento do diagnóstico. Após a endarterectomia pulmonar, os doentes foram submetidos a cateterismo direito para exclusão de hipertensão pulmonar residual (pressão média da artéria pulmonar  $\geq$ 30 mmHg). Os parâmetros ecocardiográficos do coração direito foram analisados e comparados.

*Resultados:* Trinta e nove doentes com hipertensão pulmonar tromboembólica crónica foram submetidos a endarterectomia pulmonar durante o período de seguimento. A idade média ao diagnóstico foi de 57,3 anos. Dezoito doentes apresentaram hipertensão pulmonar residual documentada. A excursão sistólica do plano anular tricúspide (p=0,010), área tele-diastólica do ventrículo direito (p < 0,001), área tele-sistólica do ventrículo direito (p < 0,001), variação fracional da área (p=0,006), a razão TAPSE/pressão sistólica da artéria pulmonar (p=0,002), e índices de excentricidade diastólico (p=0,002) e sistólico (p=0,036) foram significativamente diferentes entre os dois grupos. A área tele-sistólica e a área tele-diastólica do ventrículo direito superior a 27,13 cm<sup>2</sup> (AUC 0,88, sensibilidade 89%, especificidade 85%, razão de probabilidades 44) e área tele-sistólica do ventrículo direito superior a 19,54 cm<sup>2</sup> (AUC 0,875, sensibilidade 88%, especificidade 85%, razão de probabilidades 48%, especificidade 85%, razão de probabilidades 48%, especificidade 85%, razão de probabilidade de desenvolver hipertensão pulmonar residual após a endarterectomia pulmonar.

*Conclusão*: Este estudo demonstra que determinados parâmetros ecocardiográficos podem ser preditores do desenvolvimento de hipertensão pulmonar residual após endarterectomia pulmonar, contudo é essencial a validação em coortes de maior dimensão.

© 2025 Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. em nome de Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

# Introduction

Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) is defined by the presence of symptoms – dyspnea, tiredness, and edema – associated with thrombotic and fibrotic occlusions within the pulmonary arteries and its branches. These cause ventilation/perfusion (V/Q) mismatches in V/Q scintigraphy or documented by computed tomography with confirmed pulmonary hypertension by right heart catheterization (RHC), after three months of effective

# PALAVRAS-CHAVE Hipertensão

pulmonar; Hipertensão pulmonar tromboembólica crónica; Coração direito; Ecocardiografia; Tromboendarterectomia pulmonar; Hipertensão pulmonar residual anticoagulation.<sup>1,2</sup> CTEPH can arise after an acute episode of pulmonary embolism (PE) or the symptoms can evolve for months or even years before diagnosis.<sup>3,4</sup>

This condition is associated with high morbidity,<sup>3,5</sup> but mortality in recent years has been declining.<sup>6</sup> Unlike pulmonary arterial hypertension, CTEPH is curable. Surgical removal of the lesions by pulmonary endarterectomy (PEA) should be considered in patients with proximal and segmental lesions. Historically, PEA has had high rates of mortality and morbidity,<sup>7</sup> but over the past 10 years, the rate has been declining. High-volume centers have reported rates <2%.<sup>8</sup>

Unfortunately, it is estimated that 25% of patients experience recurrence or persistence of PH, defined as residual PH,<sup>9</sup> which has a therapeutic and prognostic impact.<sup>10</sup> So far, no specific criteria for residual PH have been defined. Cannon et al. demonstrated that mPAP  $\geq$ 38 mmHg and pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR)  $\geq$ 5.3 Wood units (W/U) were associated with long-term survival, and that mPAP  $\geq$ 30 mmHg was associated with vasodilator initiation due to clinical worsening.<sup>11</sup>

Even though there are risk scores to predict the development of CTEPH when there is suspicion,<sup>12</sup> to date, no scores or clinical, laboratory or echocardiographic criteria have been associated with the development of residual PH after PEA.

# **Objectives**

We sought to evaluate various echocardiographic parameters that could be used as predictors of development of residual PH in patients with CTEPH diagnosis who had undergone PEA.

# Methods

# Study population

We performed a retrospective, observational, unicentric study of patients with confirmed diagnosis of CTEPH who underwent PEA, between January 2010 and October 2024 at a nationwide PH referral center. All patients had undergone transthoracic echocardiogram at least three months after effective anticoagulation, either after a prior episode of PE or documented V/Q mismatch in scintigraphy or documented thrombotic or fibrotic lesions within the pulmonary arteries and their branches. RHC was performed in all patients after echo to confirm the CTEPH diagnosis.

After CTEPH diagnosis, the multidisciplinary team, including cardiothoracic surgery, then met to discuss the cases and evaluate operability. Afterwards, PEA was performed in a high-volume center. Six to 12 months after PEA, patients were invasively reevaluated, using RHC, to confirm or exclude residual PH.

#### Echocardiographic parameters

Patients underwent transthoracic echocardiography, with special attention given to the evaluation of right heart anatomy and function. Parameters used for statistical purposes were the following: tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE), tricuspid regurgitation peak velocity (TR peak), tele-diastolic right ventricular area (EDRVA), tele-systolic right ventricular area (ESRVA), right atrial tele-systolic area (RAP area), fractional area change (FAC), TAPSE/pulmonary artery systolic pressure ratio (TAPSE/PASP), diastolic (DER) and systolic eccentric ratio (SER), right ventricular outflow tract acceleration time (RVOT AccT), tricuspid tissue doppler imaging S' wave velocity (tricuspid S'), peak velocity of pulmonary regurgitation (PR peak), tele-diastolic velocity of PR TD, estimated right ventricular-atrial gradient (RV-RA gradient), estimated sPAP, estimated mPAP, estimated diastolic pulmonary arterial pressure (estimated dPAP) and estimated right atrial pressure – through evaluation of inferior vena cava and respiratory sniff movement (estimated RAP).

When a significant proportion of the echocardiograms were performed, strain and volume analysis had not yet been standardized in our clinical practice, therefore, these parameters were not used for this study.

# **Residual PH definition**

No clear definition of residual PH has been provided so far. We used the 30 mmHg cutoff used by Cannon et al., which was associated with vasodilator initiation, and that reflects our clinical practice.<sup>11</sup>

# Statistical analysis

Independent continuous parameters with normal distribution were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) and non-normal distribution data were expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR). Between groups comparisons were conducted using independent t-tests (for normal distribution date) and Mann–Whitney U test (for non-normal distribution data). For dependent continuous parameters, paired t-tests were used for normal distributed data and Wilcoxon test for non-normal distributed data. Categorical parameters were expressed as absolute and relative frequencies, and comparisons were performed using chi-squared tests, given their independent status.

All echo parameters that were significantly different between the two groups were evaluated in terms of association with residual PH, using univariate logistic regression. Those parameters that achieved statistical significance in univariate analysis, were subjected to multivariate logistic regression. Afterwards, receiver operator curves (ROC) curves were performed to evaluate optimal cutoffs to define higher risk of residual PH development. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant, as for logistic regression, parameters that achieved p-value <0.10 in univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis.

All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS© version 28.0 (IBM® Statistics, Armonk, New York, USA).

# Results

#### Population at diagnosis

Eighty-six patients were diagnosed with CTEPH between January 2010 and October 2024. Forty patients were deemed



**Figure 1** Study flowchart. CTEPH: chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension; PEA: pulmonary endarterectomy; PH: pulmonary hypertension.

operable and proposed for PEA. One patient was lost to follow-up as she moved abroad after the PEA proposal. Thirty-nine patients were then included in our analysis (Figure 1), with 18 patients developing residual PH after PEA.

Patient and hemodynamic characteristics at diagnosis are shown in Table 1. Mean age at diagnosis is similar between the two groups, around 57 years-old. Most patients with CTEPH diagnosis (70%) and residual PH (77.8%) are female, like the figures observed in other cohorts. Patients who developed residual PH also had higher values of NTproBNP, lower distance on six-minute walking test and lower diffusing lung capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide (DLCO) at CTEPH diagnosis. Hemodynamically, patients who developed residual PH tended to have higher mPAP, systolic pulmonary arterial pressure and pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR), with lower stroke volume (either indexed to body surface area or not).

Post-PEA hemodynamics are exhibited in Table 2. The procedure is associated with lower mPAP and vascular resistance, and with higher cardiac output and index and mixed venous oxygen saturation.

#### Echocardiographic parameters at diagnosis

Echocardiographic parameters at diagnosis are exhibited in Table 3. Patients that developed residual PH after PEA had lower TAPSE (p=0.01), TAPSE/sPAP (p<0.01) and FAC (p=0.006); higher ventricular (p<0.001 for both EDRVA and ESRVA) and atrial areas (p<0.001), and eccentric ratios, either diastolic (p=0.002) and systolic (p=0.036).

Logistic regression analysis is shown in Table 4. In fact, almost all the parameters that were significantly different between the two groups achieved significance in univariate analysis, except for SER and RA area. Those parameters were then subjected to multivariate analysis, along with multiple clinical – such as sex, age, body surface area, functional class and distance walked in 6-minutes walking test – and laboratorial – NTproBNP and DLCO – parameters. All the parameters that had statistical significance in univariate analysis were also significant in multivariate analysis, suggesting that those parameters are independent factors regarding development of residual PH.

Using ROC curves, we aimed to get the optimal cutoffs for the various parameters that shown significance in multi-

	Residual PH (n=18)	No residual PH (N=21)	p-Value
Age (mean $\pm$ SD)	57.17 (14.22)	57.33 (14.42)	0.971
Body surface area ( $m^2$ ; mean $\pm$ SD)	1.76 (0.20)	1.83 (0.20)	0.304
Female sex (n, %)	14 (77.8%)	13 (61.9%)	0.284
WHO functional class (n, %)	N=17	N=19	0.389
	l – 1 (5.9%)	I – 1 (5.3%)	
	II – 5 (29.4%)	II – 5 (26.3%)	
	III – 7 (41.2%)	III – 12 (63.2%)	
	IV - 4 (23.5%)	IV – 1 (5.3%)	
Long term oxygen therapy (n, %)	4 (22.2%)	1 (4.8%)	0.104
NTproBNP (pg/mL; median, IQR)	1410.50 (2498)	368.00 (869.50)	<0.001
Six-minute walking test (m; mean ± SD)	236.60 (146.20)	370.00 (114.08)	0.006
DLCO (%; mean $\pm$ SD)	62.96 (20.04)	76.29 (14.89)	0.046
Right atrial pressure (mmHg; mean ± SD)	11.77 (6.38)	6.76 (3.19)	0.007
Mean pulmonary arterial pressure $(mmHg; mean \pm SD)$	53.83 (11.07)	43.17 (10.56)	0.006
Systolic pulmonary arterial pressure $(mmHg; mean \pm SD)$	91.47 (17.15)	76.48 (21.99)	0.024
Mixed oxygen venous saturation (%; mean ± SD)	61.77 (9.55)	67.29 (6.92)	0.056
Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure $(mmHg; mean \pm SD)$	11.58 (5.52)	11.57 (4.74)	0.992
Transpulmonary gradient (mmHg; mean ± SD)	41.47 (8.98)	32.14 (12.18)	0.010
Cardiac output (L/min; mean $\pm$ SD)	TD - 3.53 (1.17)	TD - 3.92 (1.26)	0.367
	Fick - 4.09 (1.97)	Fick - 4.30 (1.48)	0.715
Cardiac index (L/min/m <sup>2</sup> ; mean $\pm$ SD)	TD - 1.95 (0.55)	TD - 2.24 (0.55)	0.141
	Fick - 2.27 (0.99)	Fick - 2.33 (0.68)	0.823
Stroke volume (L/beat)	TD <sup>a</sup> - 45.13 (17.10)	TD <sup>a</sup> – 56.35 (16.92)	0.033 <sup>b</sup>
	Fick - 53.45 (29.32)	Fick - 60.42 (18.79)	0.404
Stroke volume index (L/beat/m <sup>2</sup> ;	TD - 25.03 (8.38)	TD - 31.35 (8.01)	0.038
mean $\pm$ SD)	Fick - 30.09 (15.26)	Fick - 32.96 (8.81)	0.497
Pulmonary vascular resistance (W/U;	TD - 13.49 (5.27)	TD - 9.55 (4.50)	0.028
mean $\pm$ SD)	Fick - 12.43 (5.46)	Fick - 8.58 (5.05)	0.029
Pulmonary total resistance (W/U;	TD – 16.18 (5.55)	TD - 12.70 (5.31)	0.080
mean $\pm$ SD)	Fick - 14.92 (5.77)	Fick - 11.47 (5.35)	0.065

Table 1 Patient and haemodynamic parameters evaluated at diagnosis.

SD: standard deviation; W/U: Wood units.

<sup>a</sup> Values showed as median and interquartile range (IQR).

<sup>b</sup> Mann-Whitney U test was used for this purpose.

variate analysis. As can be seen in Figures 2–4, EDRVA, ESRVA and DER obtained AUC above 0.75, threshold that were considered optimal, due to the need for high sensitivity and specificity when cutoffs are considered. The optimal value obtained for EDRVA was 27.13 cm<sup>2</sup>, with an AUC of 0.88, sensitivity of 89% and specificity of 85% (p=0.003). As for ESRVA, the value obtained was 19.54 cm<sup>2</sup>, with an AUC of 0.875, sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 85% (p=0.005). And for DER, the value obtained was 1.14, with an AUC of 0.783, sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 69% (p=0.008).

We then stratified our cohort using the abovementioned cutoffs. Regarding EDRVA, the cutoff value of 27.13 cm<sup>2</sup> achieved an odds ratio (OR) of 44 [chi-squared test ( $\chi^2$ ) 11.59, p<0.001], with a negative predictive value (NPV) of 92%. As for ESRVA, the cutoff value of 19.54 achieved an

473

OR of 38.5 ( $\chi^2$  10.52, p=0.001), with a NPV of 92%. Finally, for the cutoff value was 1.14, with an OR of 13.2 ( $\chi^2$  9.02, p=0.003), with a positive predictive value of 86%.

#### Discussion

This is, to our knowledge, the first study evaluating echocardiographic predictors of post-PEA residual PH.

The right heart has unique anatomy, as it is a relatively low pressure, high compliance chamber.<sup>13</sup> Thromboembolic and fibrotic lesions, as is the case in chronic thromboembolic disease, lead to higher pressure in the pulmonary vasculature and progressive higher afterload in the right heart (RH). Its response to pressure overload over time tends

	Before PEA	After PEA	p-Value
Right atrial pressure (mmHg)	11.77 (6.38)	8.06 (3.33)	0.039
Mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mmHg)	53.83 (11.07)	43.17 (10.56)	<0.001
Mixed oxygen venous saturation (%)	61.77 (9.55)	70.09 (5.77)	0.007
Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (mmHg)	11.58 (5.52)	12.29 (3.50)	0.652
Transpulmonary gradient (mmHg)	41.47 (8.98)	30.35 (10.16)	0.002
Cardiac output (L/min)	TD - 3.53 (1.17)	TD - 4.87 (1.42)	0.003
	Fick - 4.09 (1.97)	Fick - 4.65 (1.36)	0.141
Cardiac index (L/min/m <sup>2</sup> )	TD - 1.95 (0.55)	TD - 2.70 (0.64)	0.002
	Fick - 2.27 (0.99)	Fick - 2.67 (0.63)	0.127
Stroke volume (L/beat)	TD <sup>a</sup> - 45.13 (17.10)	TD <sup>b</sup> - 53.97 (31.52)	0.023
	Fick - 53.45 (29.32)	Fick - 60.43 (20.42)	0.199
Stroke volume index (L/beat/m <sup>2</sup> )	TD - 25.03 (8.38)	TD - 34.11 (10.12)	0.013
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Fick - 30.09 (15.26)	Fick - 33.35 (10.59)	0.263
Pulmonary vascular resistance (W/U)	TD - 13.49 (5.27)	TD - 6.28 (2.47)	<0.001
	Fick - 12.43 (5.46)	Fick - 6.19 (2.05)	<0.001
Pulmonary total resistance (W/U)	TD - 16.18 (5.55)	TD - 8.82 (3.55)	<0.001
	Fick - 14.92 (5.77)	Fick - 8.93 (2.86)	<0.001

Table 2 Hemodynamic parameters in patients with residual PH, before and after PEA. All values are exhibited as mean (SD).

<sup>a</sup> Value displayed as median and IQR.

<sup>b</sup> Reflects p-value obtained with Wilcoxon test for paired samples.

 Table 3
 Echo parameters evaluated at diagnosis.

	Residual PH	No residual	p-Value
	(n=18)	PH (n=21)	
TAPSE (mmHg; mean $\pm$ SD)	15.76 (4.77)	20.00 (4.77)	0.010
RVOT AccT (ms; mean $\pm$ SD)	71.18 (21.08)	81.45 (18.89)	0.171
PR peak (m/s; median, IQR)	2.18 (0)	3.52 (2.54)	0.400
PR TD velocity (m/s; median, IQR)	1.96 (0.80)	1.48 (0.49)	0.067
Estimated mPAP (mmHg; median, IQR)	25.00 (0)	40.50 (29.25)	0.400
Estimated dPAP (mmHg; median, IQR)	28.50 (18.50)	21.50 (11.25)	0.476
EDRVA (cm <sup>2</sup> ; mean $\pm$ SD)	30.53 (3.81)	21.45 (5.27)	<0.001
ESRVA (cm <sup>2</sup> ; median, IQR)	22.91 (3.15)	15.70 (5.85)	<0.001
RA area (cm <sup>2</sup> , median, IQR)	25.75 (11.61)	21.00 (15.00)	<0.001
FAC (%; median, IQR)	23.15 (9.50)	35.20 (17.25)	0.006
TR velocity (m/s; mean $\pm$ SD)	4.58 (0.43)	4.14 (0.89)	0.062
RV-RA gradient (mmHg; mean $\pm$ SD)	84.53 (16.16)	71.68 (27.69)	0.091
Estimated sPAP (mmHg; mean $\pm$ SD)	96.58 (16.86)	77.84 (28.88)	0.021
TAPSE/sPAP ratio (mm/mmHg; median, IQR)	0.16 (0.10)	0.24 (0.20)	0.002
Tricuspid S' wave (cm/s; median, IQR)	8.72 (5.13)	10.55 (2.21)	0.224
DER (median, IQR)	1.59 (0.69)	1.07 (0.23)	0.002
SER (mean $\pm$ SD)	1.70 (0.38)	1.35 (0.41)	0.036
Estimated RAP (mmHg; median, IQR)	15.00 (8.25)	3.00 (5.00)	0.003

to evolve from a relatively "adaptive" remodeling, with compensatory concentric hypertrophy, up to "maladaptive" eccentric remodeling, due to exhaustion, with progressive right ventricle dilation and dysssynchrony.<sup>14–16</sup> Most right ventricle contraction occurs in the longitudinal plane.<sup>17</sup> In patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension, longitudinal function and shortening tend to diminish,<sup>18</sup> and that contributes in greater part to the dysfunction of the RH.<sup>19,20</sup> Desynchrony is one of the key elements of contractile dysfunction in pressure overload, and it tends to occur in relatively early stages of right ventricular dysfunction.<sup>16,21</sup> In our cohort, we observed patients that developed residual PH had TAPSE <16 mm, compared to 20 mm in the patients that normalized pulmonary pressure after PEA. This reflects the loss of longitudinal function associated with worsening RH function. Even more obvious is the ventricular dilation already present in patients that developed residual PH, reflecting the ''maladaptive'' remodeling associated with prolonged pressure overload, with distortion of the RH anatomy and function. Also, the loss of systolic function and continuous high afterload, in the long term, tends to result in diastolic dysfunction and volume overload, which reflects in

	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
	OR	p-Value	OR	p-Value
TAPSE	0.80 (0.66-0.96)	0.018	0.81 (0.67-0.97)	0.023
EDRVA	1.41 (1.06-1.87)	0.020	1.46 (1.08-1.97)	0.013
ESRVA	1.42 (1.06-1.90)	0.018	1.44 (1.05–1.97)	0.023
RA area	1.05 (0.93-1.19)	0.436		
FAC	0.87 (0.76-0.99)	0.034	0.86 (0.74-0.99)	0.034
Estimated sPAP	1.04 (1.01-1.07)	0.039	1.04 (1.01-1.08)	0.042
TAPSE/sPAP ratio	0.00 (0.00-0.10)	0.021	0.00 (0.00-0.14)	0.024
DER	15.99 (1.39-184.28)	0.026	16.50 (1.29-210.52)	0.031
SER	9.29 (0.99-86.69)	0.500		
Estimated RAP	1.21 (1.06-1.39)	0.005	1.22 (1.06-1.40)	0.006
OR: odds ratio.				

Table 4 Univariate and multivariate analysis of echo parameters at diagnosis, regarding prediction of residual PH.



**Figures 2–4** Receiver operator curves for end-diastolic right ventricular area, end-systolic right ventricular area and diastolic eccentricity ratio.

the right atrium, <sup>13,22</sup> with its dilation and pressure elevation, also seen in our residual PH cohort.

Ventricular-arterial coupling is also one of the key elements in RH function, and even more in its dysfunction.<sup>23-25</sup> The gold standard for its measurement is with conductance catheterization; however, in recent years, indirect surrogates have been investigated, with TAPSE/sPAP being one of the most extensive studied.<sup>26</sup> In PAH patients, TAPSE/sPAP is now a parameter to consider in the ESC/ERS 2022 risk calculator.<sup>1</sup> Its use in CTEPH is still limited, but recent data have shown good hemodynamic and prognostic correlation.<sup>27,28</sup> In our cohort, patients with residual PH revealed lower TAPSE/sPAP, reflecting ventricular-arterial uncoupling in these patients, and probably its non-reverse remodeling after PEA. Unfortunately, we could not find an optimal threshold for TAPSE/sPAP.

Hemodynamically, we have seen significant changes after PEA but patients with residual PH maintained high mPAP and PVR. Cannon et al. showed that patients with mPAP >38 mmHg and PVR >5.3W/U (425 Dynes<sup>-1</sup>) after PEA were associated with worse outcomes, prompting initiation of vasodilator therapy.<sup>11</sup> There are some clinical clues that could also be associated with the development of residual PH. Lower values of DLCO have been associated with microvasculopathy, reflecting affection on smaller vessels, which are not approachable by PEA.<sup>29,30</sup> Also, higher titers of NTproBNP are associated with higher disease burden, especially values >1200 pg/mL.<sup>31</sup>

Although we used the mPAP cutoff of 30 mmHg, which reflects our clinical practice in terms of pulmonary vasodilator usage, the results were similar when we used the 25 mmHg cutoff, adding two more patients to the residual PH group.

Surprisingly, our original cohort had 45% of patients with residual PH, which is a lot higher than the 25% estimated by Hsieh et al.,<sup>9</sup> although not different from other registries. As it is reflected in Table 1, age, functional class, BSA or sex were not different between the two groups, hence they were not associated with worse course of disease or progressive PH.

Our study has several limitations. First, our cohort was small. Second, values obtained by ROC curves were not validated in different cohorts and cannot be used for clinical purposes or interpreted for future risk stratification. Finally, unfortunately, could not use strain or RH volumes since some of the echocardiograms are old and the images could not be retrieved for post-exam analysis at our workstation. This was especially the case for strain, as demonstrated in various studies, mostly in CTEPH patients who underwent ballon pulmonary angioplasty.<sup>32,33</sup>

It also has strengths. First, it is the first study that extensively evaluates pre-PEA echocardiographic parameters and its use in predicting residual PH after PEA. Second, the high percentage of patients with residual PH, close to 50%, keeps strong comparability with patients without residual PH. Also, the similarity of age, sex, BSA and functional class adds strength in terms of comparability between groups. Third, it shows that ventricular remodeling, here translated in terms of right ventricular area, could be paramount in the development of residual PH. Lastly, this study emphasizes the need for a thorough echocardiogram on CTEPH diagnosis, showing the anatomic and functional changes in the RH, and their contribution to the course of disease.

### Conclusion

Our study shows that certain echocardiographic parameters could be predictors of the development of residual PH after PEA. Ventricular and atrial remodeling, longitudinal function and ventricular-arterial coupling are independently associated with residual PH, but validation in larger cohorts is mandatory.

# **Conflicts of interest**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### References

- 1. Humbert M, Kovacs G, Hoeper MM, et al. 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension. Eur Heart J. 2022;43:3618–731.
- Cazeiro DI, Raposo MA, Guimarães T, et al. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: a comprehensive review of pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment strategies. Rev Port Cardiol. 2025;44:121–37, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.repc.2024.04.006.
- Pepke-Zaba J, Delcroix M, Lang I, et al. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH). Circulation. 2011;124:1973-81.
- Lang IM, Madani M. Update on chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Circulation. 2014;130:508–18.
- Delcroix M, Lang I, Pepke-Zaba J, et al. Long-term outcome of patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Circulation. 2016;133:859–71.
- Santos M, Gomes A, Cruz C, et al. Long-term survival in pulmonary arterial hypertension and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: insights from a referral center in Portugal. Rev Port Cardiol. 2018;37:749–57.
- Chitwood WR Jr, Sabiston DC Jr, Wechsler AS. Surgical treatment of chronic unresolved pulmonary embolism. Clin Chest Med. 1984;5:507–36.
- Jenkins DP, Tsui SS, Taghavi J, et al. Pulmonary thromboendarterectomy – the Royal Papworth experience. Ann Cardiothorac Surg. 2022;11:128–32.

- Hsieh WC, Jansa P, Huang WC, et al. Residual pulmonary hypertension after pulmonary endarterectomy: a meta-analysis. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2018;156:1275–87.
- Ishida K, Kohno H, Matsuura K, et al. Impact of residual pulmonary hypertension on long-term outcomes after pulmonary endarterectomy in the modern era. Pulm Circ. 2023;13, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pul2.12215.
- **11.** Cannon JE, Su L, Kiely DG, et al. Dynamic risk stratification of patient long-term outcome after pulmonary endarterectomy. Circulation. 2016;133:1761–71.
- Klok FA, Dzikowska-Diduch O, Kostrubiec M, et al. Derivation of a clinical prediction score for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension after acute pulmonary embolism. J Thromb Haemost. 2015;14:121–8.
- Sanz J, Sánchez-Quintana D, Bossone E, et al. Anatomy, function, and dysfunction of the right ventricle. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73:1463–82.
- Lahm T, Douglas IS, Archer SL, et al. Assessment of right ventricular function in the research setting: knowledge gaps and pathways forward. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2018;198:e15–43.
- Vonk-Noordegraaf A, Haddad F, Chin KM, et al. Right heart adaptation to pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62:D22–33.
- Lamia B, Muir JF, Molano LC, et al. Altered synchrony of right ventricular contraction in borderline pulmonary hypertension. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2017;33:1331–9.
- Brown SB, Raina A, Katz D, et al. Longitudinal shortening accounts for the majority of right ventricular contraction and improves after pulmonary vasodilator therapy. Chest. 2010;140:27–33.
- Kind T, Mauritz GJ, Marcus JT, et al. Right ventricular ejection fraction is better reflected by transverse rather than longitudinal wall motion in pulmonary hypertension. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2010;12:35.
- **19.** Calcutteea A, Chung R, Lindqvist P, et al. Differential right ventricular regional function and the effect of pulmonary hypertension: three-dimensional echo study. Heart. 2011;97:1004–11.
- 20. Li Y, Xie M, Wang X, et al. Right ventricular regional and global systolic function is diminished in pulmonary arterial hypertension: a 2D ultrasound speckle tracking study. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2012;29:545–51.
- 21. Badagliacca R, Reali M, Poscia R, et al. Right intraventricular dyssynchrony in idiopathic, heritable, and anorexigen-induced pulmonary arterial hypertension. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2015;8:642–52.
- Trip P, Rain S, Handoko ML, et al. Clinical relevance of right ventricular diastolic stiffness in pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir J. 2015;45:1603–12.
- Noordegraaf AV, Chin KM, Haddad F, et al. Pathophysiology of the right ventricle and pulmonary circulation in pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir J. 2018;53:1801900.
- 24. Noordegraaf AV, Westerhof BE, Westerhof N. The relationship between the right ventricle and its load in pulmonary hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69:236-43.
- Axell RG, Messer SJ, White PA, et al. Ventriculo-arterial coupling detects occult RV dysfunction in chronic thromboembolic pulmonary vascular disease. Physiol Rep. 2017;5:e13227.
- Tello K, Wan J, Dalmer A, et al. Validation of the TAPSE/sPAP ratio for assessing right ventricular-arterial coupling in severe pulmonary hypertension. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2019;12, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/circimaging.119.009047.
- Duan A, Li X, Jin Q, et al. Prognostic implication of noninvasive RV-PA coupling in chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Ther Adv Chronic Dis. 2022;13, http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/20406223221102803.
- Lyhne MD, Hansen JV, Andersen S, et al. RV-PA coupling in chronic thromboembolic pulmonary

hypertension. Int J Cardiol. 2025;1(418):132639, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2024.132639.

- 29. Suda R, Tanabe N, Ishida K, et al. Prognostic and pathophysiological marker for patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: usefulness of diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide at diagnosis. Respirology. 2016;22:179–86.
- Li X, Zhang Y, Luo Q, et al. Diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide predicts response to balloon pulmonary angioplasty in patients with inoperable chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2021;8, http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2021.762267.
- Suntharalingam J, Goldsmith K, Toshner M, et al. Role of NT-proBNP and 6MWD in chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Respir Med. 2007;101:2254–62.
- **32.** Marston N, Brown JP, Olson N, et al. Right ventricular strain before and after pulmonary thromboendarterectomy in patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Echocardiography. 2014;32:1115–21.
- **33.** Peters AC, Madhan AS, Kislitsina O, et al. Temporal trends in right heart strain in patients undergoing pulmonary thromboendarterectomy for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Echocardiography. 2021;38:1932–40.