Persistent left superior vena cava and double-lumen aortic arch in a patient with a stenotic unicuspid aortic valve

Veia cava superior esquerda persistente e duplo arco aórtico num paciente
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Persistent left superior vena cava (LSVC) is the most common venous anomaly, with an incidence of 0.3-0.5% in the general population.1 However, absence of the right superior vena cava (RSVC) is found in only 10-20% of cases (Figure 1).1,2 A double-lumen aortic arch is one of the rare aortic anomalies caused by the persistence of the fifth aortic arch (Figure 2).3 A unicuspid aortic valve (UA V) was first described by Edwards in 1958, and the incidence of this rare malformation in the population is 0.02%.4,5

Figure 1  Diagram of superior vena cava anomalies. (A) Physiological right superior vena cava; (B) persistent left superior vena cava; (C) persistent left superior vena cava with an anterior communicating vein; (D) persistent left superior vena cava and absent right superior vena cava. Blue arrow: intake of deoxygenated blood from upper part of the body into the right atrium.
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We report the case of a 36-year-old man with a persistent L SVC, an absent R SVC, a double-lumen aortic arch, probably caused by a persistent fifth aortic arch, and a stenotic UAV (peak gradient 92 mmHg, median gradient 46 mmHg and aortic valve area 0.92 cm²) (Figure 3). He reported stable angina pectoris and dyspnea during minimal exertion. Coronary angiography showed normal coronary arteries. Genetic study showed no deletion in 22q11.2. The patient underwent aortic valve replacement with implantation of a mechanical prosthesis (22-mm ATS) (Figure 4). Cardiopulmonary bypass was established under standard conditions with antegrade cardioplegia.

Despite the extent of the patient’s congenital anomalies, the condition was completely asymptomatic in infancy and early adulthood. No surgery was necessary before the degeneration and progressive stenosis of the UAV. The authors expect the patient to remain free of symptoms, depending on continuing good function of the mechanical prosthesis.
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