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Long-term assessment of the Ross procedure in adults: Clinical and echocardiographic follow-up at 20 years

Sara Guerreiro a, *, Márcio Madeira b, Regina Ribeiras a, João Queiroz e Melo b, Manuel Canada a, Eduarda Horta a, Carla Reis a, José Pedro Neves b, Miguel Mendes a

a Cardiology Department, Hospital de Santa Cruz, Carnaxide, Portugal
b Cardiac Surgery Department, Hospital de Santa Cruz, Carnaxide, Portugal

Received 14 January 2018; accepted 13 June 2018
Available online 17 June 2019

KEYWORDS
Ross procedure; Aortic valve replacement; Long-term outcome

Abstract
Introduction: The Ross procedure is an alternative to standard aortic valve (AV) replacement in young and middle-aged patients. However, durability and incidence of reoperation remain a concern for most cardiac surgeons. Our aim was to assess very long-term clinical and echocardiographic outcomes of the Ross procedure.

Methods: We conducted a single-center retrospective analysis of 56 consecutive adult patients who underwent the Ross procedure. Mean age at surgery was 44±12 years (range, 16-65 years) and 55% were male. Clinical endpoints included overall mortality and the need for valve reoperation due to graft failure. The echocardiographic endpoint was the presence of any graft deterioration. Median clinical follow-up was 20 years (1120 patient/years).

Results: Indications for surgery were dominant aortic stenosis in 50% and isolated aortic regurgitation in 21%. Concomitant mitral valve repair was performed in 21% and a subcoronary technique was most commonly used (86%). Overall long-term survival was 91%, 80% and 77% at 15, 20 and 24 years, respectively. The survival rate was similar to the age- and gender-matched general population (p=0.44). During the follow-up period, freedom from graft reoperation was 80%. Eleven patients (31%) developed moderate AV regurgitation, three (8.6%) developed moderate pulmonary regurgitation and one (2.9%) presented moderate pulmonary stenosis.

Conclusion: The Ross procedure, mostly using a subcoronary approach, proved to have good clinical and hemodynamic results, with low reoperation rates in long-term follow-up. Moderate autograft regurgitation was a frequent finding but had no significant clinical impact.
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Introduction

In the Ross procedure, originally introduced in 1967, a diseased aortic valve (AV) is replaced by the native pulmonary valve (autograft) and a homograft is implanted in the pulmonary position. Several advantages have been reported in young and middle-age adults compared to mechanical valves: no need for anticoagulation, low risk of endocarditis and thromboembolism, similar hemodynamic performance to the native valve and good quality of life, without restrictions on physical activity.

Although the procedure was popular in the 1990s, today it is rarely used in adults and the number of surgical centers where it is performed is limited because of its complexity. Moreover, it requires detailed surgical knowledge of the anatomy of the aortic root and right ventricular outflow tract.

Graft durability remains a concern, especially considering the reintervention rate due to autograft failure. The international guidelines raise major concerns about the procedure, which is suggested only for patients of childbearing age and for those wishing to avoid anticoagulation.

A small number of long-term studies have demonstrated a similar survival rate compared to the age- and gender-matched general population, as well as freedom of reintervention comparable to mechanical AV replacement (mar). However, there are few data on late outcomes of this operation. Our aim was to assess very long-term clinical and echocardiographic results of the Ross procedure in adult patients.

Methods

Study population

We carried out a retrospective observational single-center analysis that included all consecutive patients (aged ≥16 years) who underwent a Ross procedure in our institution between January 1992 and December 1999. Patients under 16 years old were excluded since other associated congenital defects are often present and the prognosis is different from adults.

In total, 56 patients were included. Data on patient and surgery characteristics were obtained from hospital medical records and the surgical database.

Surgical procedure and postoperative assessment

The choice of the Ross procedure as an alternative treatment for aortic valve disease was discussed with all patients with an active lifestyle and a desire to avoid lifelong anticoagulation therapy. Surgery was performed after informed consent was obtained. The surgical technique has been
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Quantification of aortic regurgitation as at least moderate was defined by the following criteria: effective regurgitant orifice area \( \geq 10 \text{mm}^2 \) or regurgitant volume \( \geq 30 \text{mL} \), pressure half-time \( \leq 500 \text{ms} \), and ratio of aortic jet width to left ventricular outflow tract diameter \( \geq 25\% \).

The survival rate of the study population was compared with that of the general population. Age, gender and surgery-year survival estimates for the general population were obtained from life tables published online by the Portuguese National Statistics Institute.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were described as mean ± standard deviation, or median and interquartile range (IQR) for variables with non-normal distribution. Categorical variables were represented as percentages. Normality of distribution was assessed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Cumulative rates of all-cause death and reoperation were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. The one-sample log-rank test was used for comparisons with the age- and gender-adjusted standard population. Two-sided \( p \) values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. The statistical analysis was performed with IBM SPSS version 22.0.

Results

Baseline characteristics of the study population

The preoperative clinical characteristics of the population and surgical data are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Ages ranged from 16 to 65 years (mean age 44 years) and 55% were male. The main etiologies of aortic disease were rheumatic (32%), bicuspid valve (29%) and calcified tricuspid (27%). The indication for surgery was stenosis in 50%, pure regurgitation in 21% and mixed aortic disease in 29% of cases. Most patients had preserved ejection fraction and concomitant mitral repair intervention was performed in 21%.

The subcoronary implantation technique was used in 86% of cases. Mean CPB time was 153±23 min and median length of hospital stay was nine days (IQR: 7-10).

Clinical and echocardiographic follow-up

The median clinical follow-up was 20 years (IQR: 19-23; 1120 patients/year) and ranged from one to 24 years in all patients and from 16 to 24 years in living patients.

Overall survival, including those who were reoperated for any cause, was 93%, 91%, 80% and 77% at 10, 15, 20 years and the final follow-up, respectively (Figure 1). Overall 30-day mortality was one patient, due to hemorrhagic shock.

No significant difference was observed between the survival of the Ross population and that of the age- and gender-adjusted standard population (one-sample log-rank test standard mortality ratio 1.24; 95% confidence interval: 0.67-2.28, \( p=0.44 \); Figure 2).

Freedom from reintervention due to graft failure was 87.5%, 82.1% and 80.4% at 15, 20 and 24 years, respectively. A total of 11 patients (19.6%) required graft-related
reoperation after the initial Ross procedure. Kaplan-Meier curves for reintervention are shown in Figure 1.

The main indication for reoperation was severe autograft regurgitation, except for one patient with homograft stenosis. There was no concomitant replacement of the ascending aorta in patients who underwent reintervention. Eight patients required reoperation due to mitral and/or tricuspid disease.

In the subgroup of patients who underwent the subcoronary technique (n=48), the rate of reoperation was 13% (n=6) and 19% (n=9) at 15 and 24 years, respectively. There was a small difference in the reoperation rate compared with the much smaller group who underwent root replacement technique (19% vs. 25%, p=0.68 respectively), without statistical significance. After discharge the incidence of stroke at follow-up was 7% (n=4), one of them related to endocarditis, and there was no hemorrhagic stroke. At the latest follow-up, 37 (86%) patients were in New York Heart Association functional class I, five (12%) in class II, and one (2%) in class III.

Median length of echocardiographic follow-up was 19 years (IQR: 17-21 years). Among patients who were not reoperated and who were alive at the end of follow-up (n=35), 11 patients (31%) had moderate and one had severe autograft regurgitation. In the other patients, autograft regurgitation was mild (n=20; 57%) or absent (n=3; 8.6%). No autograft stenosis was observed. Regarding the pulmonary homograft, only three patients had moderate regurgitation and the remainder had mild or no regurgitation. Four patients had mild and one patient had moderate stenosis (Figure 3). At 24 years, freedom from moderate or severe aortic regurgitation was 66%. Except from the patient with severe autograft regurgitation, there was no left ventricular dysfunction or dilatation.

By the end of follow-up, two patients had dilated aortic root combined with moderate secondary autograft regurgitation and five had isolated dilatation of the ascending aorta (45-50mm in three patients and >50mm in two). Morphological assessment of the pulmonary cusp dimensions was performed in 17 patients (30%). There was no significant difference in reintervention rate (18% [n=3] vs. 21% [n=8],

---

**Table 1** Preoperative characteristics of the study population (n=56).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at surgery, years</td>
<td>44±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group, years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-30</td>
<td>7 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-49</td>
<td>27 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>22 (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender, n (%)</td>
<td>31 (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>24±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (3.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic valve disease, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatic</td>
<td>18 (32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicuspid</td>
<td>16 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcified tricuspid</td>
<td>15 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myxomatous degeneration and prolapse</td>
<td>4 (7.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocarditis</td>
<td>3 (5.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic valve lesion, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenosis</td>
<td>28 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regurgitation</td>
<td>12 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed lesion</td>
<td>16 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant mitral disease, n (%)</td>
<td>11 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (&gt;55%)</td>
<td>48 (86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mildly impaired (45-55%)</td>
<td>2 (3.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately impaired (30-45%)</td>
<td>3 (5.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation (%)</td>
<td>8 (14%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: body mass index.

**Table 2** Surgical data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean CPB time, min</td>
<td>153±23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant procedure, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral valve repair</td>
<td>12 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascending aorta replacement</td>
<td>3 (5.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (5.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autograft implantation technique, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcoronary</td>
<td>48 (86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root replacement</td>
<td>8 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of hospital stay, days</td>
<td>9 (IQR: 7-10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CABG: coronary artery bypass grafting; CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass; IQR: interquartile range.

---

**Figure 1** Kaplan-Meier analysis of long-term outcomes after the Ross procedure (n=56). (A) Overall survival; (B) freedom from graft reoperation.
In the Ross procedure, compared with the Ross procedure and the general population, the long-term follow-up period was considered shorter (14 years) and their patients were much younger. Furthermore, freedom from graft reoperation was similar to that of our study (80% vs. 82%). A study by Charitos et al. in 203 patients revealed freedom from graft reoperation of 87% at 15 years, compared to 88% in our group in the same follow-up. Findings from other studies have shown similar positive results regarding this procedure, although outcomes differ between series.

Durability and reoperation rates are the major concerns regarding the Ross procedure. However, these complications are strongly influenced by the volume, experience and expertise of the surgical center, as well as by the surgical technique used. The relatively low rate of graft reoperation in our study may be explained by the significant proportion of patients who underwent a subcoronary technique, as described originally by Ross. The subcoronary approach has been shown to give good clinical results, with lower reoperation rates.

Children were excluded from our cohort, in view of the high prevalence of complex associated defects in children that could alter the prognosis, with more frequent need for reintervention on the pulmonary homograft and right ventricular outflow tract, in comparison with adults.

Unlike the present study, a recent registry with a long follow-up (median 15 years, maximum 25 years) in 310 patients (mean age 41 years) revealed lower life expectancy after the Ross procedure compared with matched subjects (p=0.0001). In our study, the number of patients (n=56) may have been too small to reveal differences between groups. Also, it does not necessarily follow that after more than 20 years of follow-up patients will have a similar survival to the general population during their expected life span. On the other hand, the subcoronary approach was only used in 6% in this registry, and we speculate that this probably contributed to the difference.

In a propensity-matched cohort study in which the Ross procedure was compared to mAVR, survival in the first postoperative decade was similar between the two groups, and to that of the general population. Furthermore, Mazine et al. reported 20-year survival of 94% in a cohort of 212 patients (median age 34 years), similar to that of the age- and gender-matched general population; freedom from valve-related reintervention during the same period was 80%. Their overall survival rate was higher than in our study (94% vs. 80%), although the median follow-up was considerably shorter (14 years) and their patients were much younger. Furthermore, freedom from graft reoperation was similar to that of our study (80% vs. 82%). A study by Charitos et al. in 203 patients revealed freedom from graft reoperation of 87% at 15 years, compared to 88% in our group in the same follow-up. Findings from other studies have shown similar positive results regarding this procedure, although outcomes differ between series.
et al. showed in 208 patients after the Ross procedure that freedom from valve-related reintervention and overall survival were comparable to mAVR, but with a significantly lower incidence of major bleeding and stroke in the Ross surgery cohort. Also, in a study in a large center, there were no differences in perioperative mortality or neurological complications between these two interventions, despite the greater complexity of the Ross procedure.

Ross surgery should be considered as a viable alternative to conventional AV replacement in young patients, as they have a greater probability of suffering valve-related complications due to their longer life expectancy. Mechanical valves, by contrast, are associated with various long-term risks, particularly the risk of thrombosis, hence the need for anticoagulation. Additionally, biological prosthetic valves are not a long-term solution due to their limited durability. In a randomized study that compared outcomes of the Ross procedure and aortic homografts, 10-year survival was significantly longer following the former (97% vs. 83%, respectively).

Even though mostly young patients were selected in this study, 39% of patients were 50 years or older (up to 65 years). We believe that this patient group may also benefit from this procedure.

At least moderate autograft regurgitation was a common finding (34% of patients), which is comparable to previously published studies with shorter follow-up periods, which ranged from 12% at nine years to 15% at 15 years. However, in our study moderate autograft regurgitation had no clinically significant impact in a very long follow-up. Also, pulmonary homograft deterioration was infrequent (three patients with moderate regurgitation and one with moderate stenosis), possibly because of the use of cryopreserved homografts.

Our series, along with numerous previous studies, showed good long-term outcomes following the Ross procedure, which suggests it is time for a hard look at current practices.

Limitations

This study has some limitations, particularly its retrospective, observational and single-center nature, and its relatively small sample. It is thus more of a descriptive study, and statistical analysis of the small number of events, such as identification of long-term predictors of survival, reoperation or graft dysfunction, was not feasible. However, although the sample was small, the follow-up was very long (median 20 years).

Furthermore, there was no mechanical valve group for comparison, which limited the conclusions that can be drawn. Another limitation is related to the application of two different surgical techniques, although the subcoronary approach was the most used.

Conclusion

In the present study of a single-center cohort of patients who underwent the Ross procedure, mainly using the subcoronary approach, good clinical and hemodynamic results were observed, with low reoperation rates and similar survival to the age- and gender-matched general Portuguese population. These results were similar to previously published series, although these were conducted with a shorter follow-up period. The Ross procedure, using a subcoronary approach, is the surgical technique that has best stood the test of time in terms of durability.

Moderate autograft valve regurgitation was a frequent finding, in agreement with other series, but no significant clinical impact was observed in a median follow-up of 20 years.
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