

Revista Portuguesa de **Cardiologia**Portuguese Journal of **Cardiology**



www.revportcardiol.org

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Brief psychological intervention in phase I of cardiac rehabilitation after acute coronary syndrome



Ana Cláudia Fernandes^a, Teresa McIntyre^b, Rui Coelho^{c,d}, Joana Prata^{c,d,*}, Maria Júlia Maciel^e

- ^a Faculdade de Filosofia e Ciências Sociais, Universidade Católica Portuguesa, Braga, Portugal
- ^b School of Education and Behavioral Sciences, Houston Baptist University, USA
- ^c Instituto de Investigação e Inovação em Saúde (i3S), Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal
- d Departamento de Neurociências Clínicas e Saúde Mental, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal
- ^e Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade do Porto, Portugal

Received 16 November 2016; accepted 28 January 2017 Available online 4 September 2017

KEYWORDS

Acute coronary syndrome; Hospital-based psychosocial intervention; Cardiac rehabilitation; Anxiety; Depression; Illness cognitions

Abstract

Introduction: Acute coronary syndrome (ACS) is an important cause of mortality and significant personal and financial costs. Cardiac rehabilitation (CR) programs have shown positive effects in reducing cardiovascular mortality and improving functional capacity. However, adherence is low and appears to be influenced by psychosocial factors, such as patients' cognitions and emotional state. The objective was to evaluate the efficacy of a brief in-hospital psychological intervention to promote cognitive and emotional adaptation after ACS.

Methods: One hundred and twenty-one patients with ACS, admitted to a coronary care unit in a central hospital, were randomized to an experimental group (EG, n=65) and a control group (CG, n=56). Portuguese versions of the HADS and BIPQ were used to measure emotional well-being and illness cognitions. Two 1 h 15 min sessions were conducted 2-3 days after hospital admission, and a 20-minute follow-up session took place one month after discharge. Patients were assessed at four different time points: pre-test, post-test, and at 1- and 2-month follow-up.

Results: The intervention had significant effects on anxiety, depression and illness cognitions. Anxiety and depression were significantly reduced and illness cognitions improved significantly in the EG compared to the control group. For the EG, these changes were maintained or enhanced at 1- and 2-month follow-up, whereas for the CG there was a deterioration in psychosocial adjustment.

E-mail address: joanappr@gmail.com (J. Prata).

^{*} Corresponding author.

Conclusions: These results indicate that a brief psychological intervention program delivered during hospitalization for ACS and combined with standard medical care can have positive effects in terms of psychosocial outcomes that have proven impact on cardiac rehabilitation and prognosis.

© 2017 Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Síndroma coronária aguda; Intervenção psicológica breve; Reabilitação cardíaca; Ansiedade; Depressão; Representações de doença

Intervenção psicológica na fase I da reabilitação cardíaca pós-síndroma coronária aguda

Resumo

Introdução: A síndroma coronária aguda (SCA) é uma importante causa de mortalidade, com custos pessoais e financeiros consideráveis. Os programas de reabilitação cardíaca têm benefícios na redução da mortalidade e melhoria da capacidade funcional. Contudo, a adesão é baixa e depende de fatores como o estado emocional e as representações de doença. Neste trabalho, avaliou-se a eficácia de um programa de intervenção psicológica breve na fase I da reabilitação cardíaca da SCA.

Material e métodos: Cento e vinte e um doentes com SCA, admitidos na unidade coronária de um hospital central, foram aleatorizados num grupo experimental (GE) (n= 65) e num grupo de controlo (GC) (n=56). Foram utilizadas versões portuguesas da HADS e IPQ-B para avaliar a adaptação emocional e as representações de doença. Foram efetuadas duas sessões de 1 h15 min, 2-3 dias após a admissão hospitalar, e uma avaliação de *follow-up* um mês após a alta hospitalar de 20 min. Os doentes foram avaliados em quatro momentos: pré-teste, pós-teste, um e dois meses *follow-up*.

Resultados: Os resultados demonstraram um efeito positivo e significativo da intervenção. A ansiedade e depressão evidenciaram uma redução significativa e as representações de doença melhoraram significativamente no GE, comparativamente ao GC. No GE estas alterações mantiveram-se ou aumentaram no follow-up de um e dois meses, enquanto no GC houve uma deterioração no ajustamento psicológico.

Conclusões: A intervenção psicológica breve durante a hospitalização por SCA, aliada ao tratamento médico convencional, pode ter efeitos positivos em termos de adaptação psicossocial, cujo impacto está amplamente demonstrado na reabilitação cardíaca e no prognóstico clínico. © 2017 Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos os direitos reservados.

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD), particularly acute coronary syndrome (ACS), is an important cause of mortality in developed countries and is responsible for a large number of hospital admissions, as well as for significant personal and financial costs. Cardiac rehabilitation (CR) programs reduce cardiovascular mortality, all-cause mortality and non-fatal ACS and facilitate physical and psychological recovery following acute events.²⁻⁵ Furthermore, CR significantly reduces the associated burden on healthcare by maximizing physical, psychological and social functioning and promoting behaviors that reduce or prevent the recurrence of acute events.^{5,6} Despite the plentiful evidence supporting the effectiveness of CR, studies show that there is low adherence to these programs, 7-9 with participation rates varying from 21 to 75%.6,10,11 Two surveys in European countries concluded that less than half of eligible patients participate in CR programs in most countries. 10,11

The negative impact of ACS on patients' psychological functioning has been linked to a lower adherence to therapy

and consequently to greater cardiac vulnerability, constituting a higher risk for the recurrence of an acute event. ^{12,13} Multidisciplinary programs that include a psychological component appear to be highly effective and have shown significant benefits in post-ACS morbidity and mortality. ^{14–16} Various clinical trials assessing the effectiveness of such programs have supported the provision of biopsychosocial care in hospital-based cardiac rehabilitation. ^{17,18}

In Portugal, research in this area is still scarce and clinical trials on psychological intervention in CR are practically non-existent. This study aims to assess the effectiveness of a brief multidisciplinary psycho-educational intervention in hospitalized ACS patients targeting various psychosocial and behavioral outcomes.

Methods

Participants and design

The sample consisted of 121 patients (36 females and 84 males), diagnosed with ACS and admitted to the coronary

care unit of a central hospital in Portugal. Mean age was 63.78 years (standard deviation 12.48) and 48% of the sample had six or more years of education. Informed consent was obtained from all participants included in the study, which was approved by the ethics committee.

Patients were randomized to an experimental group (EG, n=65) and a control group (CG, n=56), at the time of admission to the coronary care unit, using consecutive weekly randomization (e.g. week 1 for EG and week 2 for CG). Patients were assessed at four time points: hospital admission – pre-test (T1), hospital discharge – post-test (T2), 1-month follow-up (T3) and 2-month follow-up (T4).

Patients in the EG underwent an intervention within 2-3 days of hospital admission, consisting of two sessions (1 hr 15 min each) and a follow-up session (20 min) at one month after hospital discharge (Table 1). The intervention modality was in group format (mean of six participants per group) and included: (a) health education about ACS and cardiac rehabilitation (session 1) and (b) promotion of psychosocial adjustment in post-ACS rehabilitation (session 2). During the first session information regarding ACS and CR was presented, and patients produced individual contracts regarding the CR program and were encouraged to share information with family members. They were also referred to a specialist in changing risk behaviors. The second session, which took place before hospital discharge, focused

on the emotional response to ACS and the importance of illness cognitions in adjustment and adaptive coping. Patients were taught cognitive-behavioral strategies to reduce stress and anxiety, how to identify erroneous beliefs and change them into adaptive ones, and self-monitoring; family involvement was once more encouraged. Session 3 was a follow-up session one month post-discharge and focused on reviewing previous commitments, identifying successes and challenges, promoting goal reformulation and discussing future implementation strategies.

The following two hypotheses were tested: (1) we expected that the EG (psychosocial intervention) would present significantly better outcomes at post-test than the CG in terms of anxiety, depression and illness cognitions; (2) we also expected that these gains would be maintained over 1- and 2-month follow-up.

Instruments

The instruments used were the Portuguese versions of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and the Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire (BIPQ). The Portuguese version of the HADS, ¹⁹ which has similar psychometric properties to the original scale, consists of two subscales measuring levels of anxiety and depression. The Portuguese

Session/theme	Objectives	Providers	Time	Context
Session 1 Education on ACS and cardiac rehabilitation	 To convey information about ACS and its consequences and risk factors and about CR; to produce individual contracts regarding CR; to refer to specialists in changing risk behaviors; to encourage information-sharing with immediate family 	Psychologist Cardiologist	1 hr 15 min	Hospital admission (contact prior to 3rd day)
Session 2 Promotion of psychosocial adjustment in post-ACS rehabilitation	 to convey information about emotional responses to ACS and to provide brief training in cognitive-behavioral strategies to reduce stress and anxiety associated with ACS; to educate on the role of illness cognitions in adjustment to ACS; to teach identification of erroneous beliefs and train in changing to adaptive beliefs; to promote adaptive coping; to plan self-monitoring; to encourage family involvement in coping 	Psychologist	1 hr 15 min	Hospitalization (before hospital discharge)
Session 3 Follow-up after hospital discharge	post-discharge - to review commitments made in previous sessions; - to promote identification of successes and challenges; - to promote goal reformulation; - to discuss future implementation strategies	Psychologist	20 min	1 month post-discharge

version of the BIPQ, ²⁰ which has similar psychometric properties to the original scale, consists of nine items that assess cognitive and emotional representations of illness: consequences, timeline, personal control, treatment control, identity, concern, and emotions, plus one assessing comprehensibility and an open question about the three most important causes of their illness.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 19.0. Univariate and multivariate repeated measures statistical procedures were used to test hypotheses. Age and pre-test values of the outcome variables were entered as covariates. Equivalence of the experimental and control groups at pretest in terms of demographic and psychosocial variables was established using group comparison statistics (the chi-square test for nominal variables and the t test for continuous variables).

Results

Tables 2 and 3 present the sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the sample. The groups were equivalent in most sociodemographic variables and all clinical variables,

with only a marginally significant difference found for age, the CG being slightly older (66.1 ± 12.6 vs. 61.8 ± 12.1 years).

The EG and CG differed at pre-test in most of the psychosocial outcomes (Table 4), although these pre-test differences were controlled for in testing the hypotheses. The CG had lower levels of anxiety (9.34 ± 4.03 vs. 12.45 ± 3.73) and depression (9.61 ± 4.49 vs. 12.80 ± 4.05). Regarding illness cognitions at pre-test, the EG had more negative perceptions of their illness for the personal control, identity, comprehensibility and emotions sub-scales of the BIPO.

Hypothesis 1: post-test effects

Emotional state

Table 5 shows that the CG presented significantly higher (p<0.001) levels of anxiety and depression at post-test than the EG. The same can be observed for the total HADS score, which was significantly higher than in the EG (p<0.001).

Illness representations

The EG presented significant improvements (all p<0.001) at post-test in illness representations in terms of their perception of illness consequences, timeline, experience of symptoms (identity), concern, emotions, personal control

Sociodemographic variables	s EG	EG (n=65)		CG (n=56)	chi-square/t	р
	n	%	n	%		
Gender					0.102	0.749
Female	20	31.3	16	28.6		
Male	44	68.8	40	71.4		
Marital status					1.020	0.600
Married	50	78.1	45	80.4		
Widow(er)	9	14.1	9	16.1		
Other	5	7.8	2	3.6		
Education					3.785	0.286
<4 years	13	20	12	21.4		
4 years	23	35.4	27	48.2		
4-12 years	18	27.7	13	23.2		
>12 years	11	16.9	4	7.1		
Employment status					2.266	0.322
Employed	23	35.4	13	23.2		
Retired	36	55.4	38	67.9		
Other	6	9.2	5	8.9		
Family status					1.855	0.603
Single	7	10.8	4	7.1		
Married	21	32.3	23	41.1		
Married with children Other	31	47.7	22	39.3		
М	SD	М		SD	chi-square/t	р
Age 61.77	12.11	66.11		12.61	-1.927	0.056

Table 3 Clinical characteristics of the study sample and comparison by group.

	EG (n=65)		cc	i (n=56)	chi-square/t	р
	n	%	n	%		
Risk factors						
Family history of CHD	6	9.8	7	12.7	-0.243	0.622
Hypertension	38	62.3	27	49.1	2.047	0.153
Diabetes	19	31.1	14	25.5	0.416	0.497
Dyslipidemia	37	60.7	30	54.5	1.508	0.470
Smoking	33	54.1	31	56.4	0.060	0.80
Alcohol consumption	3	4.9	3	5.5	0.017	0.896
Obesity	12	19.7	5	9.1	2.589	0.108
Personal history of CHD	21	34.4	21	38.2	0.190	0.909
Clinical variables						
Mean time to healthcare after symptom onset					0.240	0.887
<2 hours	19	31.7	17	31.5		
2-12 hours	20	33.3	16	29.6		
>12 hours	21	35	21	38.9		
Admission ECG					0.256	0.88
ST elevation	19	43.2	12	37.5		
No ST elevation	21	47.7	17	53.1		
LBBB	4	9.1	3	9.4		
Invasive procedures					1.312	0.519
PCI	28	46.7	23	41.8		
Coronary surgery	15	25	11	20		
LV function					2.449	0.29
Normal	27	46.6	33	60		
Mild/moderate dysfunction	17	29.3	14	25.5		
Severe dysfunction	14	24.1	8	14.5		
Diagnosis					0.935	0.33
MI	47	77	38	69.1		
Unstable angina	14	23	17	30.9		

CG: control group; CHD: coronary heart disease; ECG: electrocardiogram; EG: experimental group; LBBB: left bundle branch block; LV: left ventricular; MI: myocardial infarction; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention.

and comprehensibility, whereas the CG presented a less adaptive cognitive profile (Table 5).

Hypothesis 2: time changes by group

Emotional state

Table 6 presents the results for time/group interaction effects (covariance analyses and repeated measures multivariate covariance analyses) for post-test through the 2-month follow-up changes by group. The EG presented a linear tendency to decrease in terms of anxiety and depression over time, whereas the CG maintained higher anxiety and increased depression with time, especially at two months after hospital discharge. A similar pattern can be observed for the total HADS scores, with a decrease over time in emotional distress for the EG and no change or increase with time in the CG, especially at 2-month follow-up.

Illness cognitions

In relation to illness representations, significant time/group interaction effects were observed for all dimensions (all p<0.001, Table 6). Overall, there were more positive illness

cognitions in the EG than in the CG, and this advantage was maintained over time. More specifically, patients in the EG perceived less negative consequences of their illness than in the CG, and this perception tended to decrease over time, whereas the CG showed increased perception of negative consequences of their illness after discharge. A similar pattern can be seen in terms of timeline, concern and emotions, indicating an advantage for the EG over time. Identity perception tended to decrease with time in both groups, although the CG reported more symptoms associated with their illness than the EG. The perception of personal control, treatment control and comprehensibility increased over time in the EG, whereas these dimensions tended to decrease in the CG, especially at 2-month follow-up.

Discussion

This study aimed to test the efficacy of a brief in-hospital psychosocial intervention directed at improving emotional and cognitive outcomes for patients with ACS. The results demonstrate significant benefits for the EG in terms of less anxiety and depression and more positive illness

Table 4 Results of covariance analyses for Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale and Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire scores at pre-test, with group as factor, controlling for age (n=121).

	EG (n=65)		CG (ı	n=56)	F	р
	M	SD	M	SD		
HADS						
Anxiety	12.45	3.73	9.34	4.03	17.930	< 0.001
Depression	12.80	4.05	9.61	4.49	18.736	< 0.001
Total HADS	25.25	6.708	18.95	7.154	25.180	<0.001
BIPQ						
Consequences	3.14	0.85	2.84	1.16	2.846	0.094
Timeline	2.65	0.99	2.34	1.13	3.527	0.063
Personal control	1.20	0.89	1.71	1.12	9.015	0.003
Treatment control	2.95	0.82	3.20	.67	3.255	0.074
Identity	2.80	0.64	2.00	1.09	26.167	< 0.001
Concern	3.31	0.86	2.93	1.22	3.488	0.064
Comprehensibility	1.28	0.89	2.09	1.01	22.987	< 0.001
Emotions	3.02	0.93	2.29	1.37	13.069	<0.001

BIPQ: Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire; CG: control group; EG: experimental group; HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; M: mean; SD: standard deviation.

representations after the intervention, in comparison to the CG, who received standard medical care. These results are even more significant given the fact that the EG had a less favorable psychosocial profile at pre-test than the CG. These results were independent of patients' age and baseline scores on the psychosocial variables studied. The advantages of the intervention for the EG were particularly noticeable at two months post-discharge, suggesting that the effects of the intervention lasted into the rehabilitation period, counteracting the psychosocial deterioration that was observed in the CG.

The positive impact of this intervention in terms of depressive symptoms is important bearing in mind the

predictive effect of depression on cardiovascular mortality after ACS. ^{21,22} The intervention program sought to prevent the increase in both anxiety and depression associated with post-ACS rehabilitation, not only by promoting education about ACS and its treatment but also by increasing psychosocial skills (adaptive cognitive and cognitive-behavioral coping strategies) used to reduce the negative psychological impact of the acute event. Implementation of behavioral and cognitive coping strategies to deal with emotional difficulties associated with a cardiac event is an active component of many multimodal psychological intervention programs, and is believed to be a factor directly related to reducing the recurrence of ACS. ^{23,24}

Table 5 Results of covariance analyses and multivariate covariance analyses for Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale and Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire scores at post-test, with group as factor, controlling for age and pre-test subscale values (n=121).

	EG (n=65)		CG (r	n=56)	F	р
	M	SE	M	SE		
HADS					151.89	<0.001
Anxiety	5.20	0.30	12.45	0.32		
Depression	5.16	0.35	12.94	0.38		
Total HADS	10.36	0.55	25.39	0.60	302.460	<0.001
BIPQ						
Consequences	2.09	0.08	3.27	0.08	81.58	< 0.001
Timeline	2.19	0.09	2.98	0.09	30.32	< 0.001
Personal control	2.42	0.08	1.22	0.09	71.00	< 0.001
Treatment control	3.12	0.07	2.96	0.08	1.94	0.167
Identity	0.68	0.09	1.73	0.09	52.74	< 0.001
Concern	1.99	0.07	3.39	0.08	134.31	< 0.001
Comprehensibility	2.55	0.08	1.29	0.09	95.71	< 0.001
Emotions	1.92	0.09	3.14	0.09	73.36	<0.001

BIPQ: Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire; CG: control group; EG: experimental group; HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; M: mean; SE: standard error.

Table 6 Results of repeated measures multivariate covariance analyses for the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale and Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire subscale scores, using time and group as factors and controlling for the effects of age and pre-test subscale scores (n=121).

Time point	T.	T2		T3		T4		р
	M	SE	M	SE	M	SE		
BIPQ								
Time/group								
Consequences							23.233	< 0.00
EG	2.077	0.072	1.978	0.080	1.892	0.060		
CG	3.286	0.078	3.043	0.087	3.643	0.065		
Timeline							20.259	< 0.001
EG	2.200	0.082	2.033	0.083	1.937	0.070		
CG	2.967	0.087	2.927	0.089	3.429	0.075		
Personal contro	ol						22.431	< 0.001
EG	2.463	0.083	2.546	0.093	2.860	0.094		
CG	1.170	0.090	1.238	0.101	0.617	0.102		
Treatment cont							16.017	< 0.001
EG	3.118	0.067	3.068	0.066	3.148	0.107		
CG	2.952	0.073	2.939	0.072	2.221	0.116		
Identity							13.861	< 0.001
EG	0.643	0.088	0.737	0.090	0.399	0.092		
CG	1.772	0.095	1.091	0.097	0.912	0.100		
Concern							9.327	<0.001
EG	1.991	0.069	1.933	0.083	1.757	0.085		
CG	3.385	0.074	3.149	0.090	3.550	0.091		
Comprehensibil							33.599	<0.00
EG	2.574	0.075	2.606	0.091	3.394	0.100		
CG	1.273	0.081	1.397	0.098	0.728	0.107		
Emotions							14.887	< 0.001
EG	1.935	0.082	1.863	0.096	1.493	0.093		
CG	3.129	0.089	3.124	0.104	3.499	0.101		
HADS								
Time/group								
Anxiety							14.120	<0.00
EG	5.234	0.291	4.546	0.332	2.847	0.339	14.120	٠٥.٥٥
CG	12.407	0.316	11.420	0.360	12.017	0.357		
Depression	12.407	0.510	11.420	0.300	12.017	0.507	64.403	<0.00
EG	5.134	0.344	4.843	0.397	2.623	0.374	07.703	\0.00
CG	12.969	0.374	13.307	0.431	16.134	0.374		
HADS total	12.707	0.3/4	13.307	0.431	10.134	0.400	44.988	<0.00
EG	10.357	0.555	9.405	0.676	5.520	0.635	44.700	<0.00
CG								
CG	25.390	0.603	24.708	0.734	28.092	0.690		

BIPQ: Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire; CG: control group; EG: experimental group; HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; M: mean; SE: standard error; T2: post-test; T3: 1-month follow-up; T4: 2-month follow-up.

Our results also support the effectiveness of the intervention program in changing disease cognitions. Patients in the EG had more positive illness representations after the intervention, and had perception of greater personal control and a greater understanding of the meaning of their disease. Indeed, one of the main aspects of the intervention program was education regarding disease cognitions, providing information that could correct beliefs and help patients become more active participants in the process of illness and treatment. The positive results in terms of increased understanding of the disease are in agreement with those obtained in other studies, which report a positive effect of a brief hospital intervention at this level. ¹⁶ From the standpoint of

decreased cardiac vulnerability, the significant increase in understanding of the disease is also important, since there are indications of an association between good understanding of the disease and increase in therapeutic adherence. Increased perception of personal control also has important clinical implications, as several studies have shown that a strong sense of control in coronary patients is an important predictor of improved emotional state²⁵ and quality of life in the context of CR after ACS. ²⁶

Research into the factors predicting a lack of adherence to CR has pointed to the importance of psychosocial aspects, such as patients' illness cognitions and emotional state.²⁷ De Vos et al.⁶ investigated patient compliance with

CR and reasons for refusing or abandoning programs, finding that the main reasons for not completing CR programs were other physical problems, patients' belief that they could handle their own problems, and the cost of rehabilitation. The literature suggests that patients' emotional state (e.g. anxiety and depression) and illness representations need to be assessed early on as a way of optimizing adherence to and benefits of CRP, promoting better psychosocial adaptation in the post-ACS period. 5,28-30. Patients' beliefs about their illness and treatment have been found to predict clinical and psychological outcomes post-discharge. There is evidence that the perception that one can have some control over the course of illness, as well as belief in treatment success, are determining factors in recovery. 31

This study presents evidence that a brief psychosocial intervention undertaken during hospitalization presents considerable benefits in improving psychological morbidity and adaptation to post-ACS rehabilitation. The intervention administered is of low financial cost, carries low patient and professional burden (less than three hours in total duration), and can be easily implemented in routine healthcare. This type of intervention can be particularly useful in countries such as Portugal that have fewer medical and economic resources.

The most favorable time for psychological intervention, in terms of effectiveness, is at hospitalization, when patients are most accessible to this type of intervention and to lifestyle changes. This has been confirmed by international studies and trials evaluating the efficacy of psychological intervention in post-ACS rehabilitation 16,31 and also by our previous study that evaluated the effectiveness of a psychological intervention program in phase II of cardiac rehabilitation. 20,32 The fact that patients are close in time to the acute coronary event, are in a supportive environment, and are physically present and have time available for participation in the intervention, creates a window of opportunity that our intervention appears to have optimized. A psychological approach during hospitalization is essential to identify and assess the representations of disease and the patient's emotional state, which significantly influence the quality of the post-ACS rehabilitation process.

The current study has some limitations. We did not assess whether the positive gains in psychosocial adjustment in the EG translated into better cardiac function and physical health than in the CG. However, it is generally accepted that psychosocial maladjustment (particularly anxiety and depression or depressive symptoms) are independent factors of worse prognosis in post-ACS patients, ^{33–37} involving neuroendocrine and inflammatory mechanisms as well as behavioral factors. ³⁸ Furthermore, a longer follow-up would establish whether these positive effects in adjustment persist over a longer period of time. It is important to replicate this intervention study in other hospital-based coronary care units and to include longer follow-up periods and a more diverse array of outcomes, both clinical (e.g. recurrence of ACS) and behavioral (e.g. lifestyle changes).

Despite these limitation, our results highlight the positive impact of a brief psychological intervention in phase I of a CR program, targeting recognized psychological risk factors for ACS rehabilitation and ultimately for cardiac prognosis.

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that the procedures followed were in accordance with the regulations of the relevant clinical research ethics committee and with those of the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki).

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that they have followed the protocols of their work center on the publication of patient data.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors have obtained the written informed consent of the patients or subjects mentioned in the article. The corresponding author is in possession of this document.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Manda SO, Gale CP, Hall AS, et al. Statistical profiling of hospital performance using acute coronary syndrome mortality. Cardiovasc J Afr. 2012;23:546–51.
- Herber OR, Jones MC, Smith K, et al. Assessing acute coronary syndrome patients' cardiac-related beliefs, motivation and mood over time to predict non-attendance at cardiac rehabilitation. J Adv Nurs. 2012;68:2778–88.
- 3. Clark AM, Hartling L, Van der Meer B, et al. Secondary prevention programs for patients with coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Ann Intern Med. 2005;143:659–72.
- Taylor J. Motivation and prevention of cardiovascular disease. Eur Heart J. 2014;35:1356-7.
- 5. Tan MP, Morgan K. Psychological interventions in cardiovascular disease: an update. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2015;28:371–7.
- **6.** De Vos C, Li X, Van Vlaenderen I, et al. Participating or not in a cardiac rehabilitation programme: factors influencing a patient's decision. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2012;20:341–8.
- 7. Deskur-Smielecka E, Borowicz-Bienkowska S, Brychcy A, et al. Why patients after acute coronary syndromes do not participate in an early outpatient rehabilitation programme? Kardiol Pol. 2009;67:632–8.
- Soleimani A, Abbasi A, Nejatian M, et al. Factors predicting discontinuation of a hospital-based cardiac rehabilitation programme. Kardiol Pol. 2009;67:140-6.
- Taylor GH, Wilson SL, Sharp J. Medical, psychological, and sociodemographic factors associated with adherence to cardiac rehabilitation programs: a systematic review. J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2011;26:202-9.
- Vanhees L, McGee HM, Dugmore LD, et al. A representative study of cardiac rehabilitation activities in European Union Member States: the Carinex survey. J Cardiopulm Rehabil. 2002;22:264–72.
- Bjarnason-Wehrens B, McGee H, Zwisker A, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation in Europe: results from the European Cardiac Rehabilitation Inventory Survey. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2010;17:410–8.
- 12. Contrada RJ, Boulifard DA, Hekler EB, et al. Psychosocial factors in heart surgery: presurgical vulnerability and postsurgical recovery. Health Psychol. 2008;27:309–19.

- Davidson KW, Burg MM, Kronish IM, et al. Association of anhedonia with recurrent major adverse cardiac events and mortality 1 year after acute coronary syndrome. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2010;67:480–8.
- Broadbent E, Leggat A, McLachlan A, et al. Providing cardiovascular risk management information to acute coronary syndrome patients: a randomized trial. Br J Health Psychol. 2013;18:83–96.
- 15. McIntyre TM, Fernandes AC, Araújo-Soares V. Programa de intervenção psicológica na reabilitação cardíaca pós-enfarte do miocárdio [Psychological intervention program for cardiac rehabilitation post-myocardial infarction]. Psicologia: Teor Investig Prát. 2002;7:399-414.
- 16. Broadbent E, Ellis CJ, Thomas J, et al. Can an illness perception intervention reduce illness anxiety in spouses of myocardial infarction patients? A randomized controlled trial. J Psychosom Res. 2009;67:11–5.
- 17. Kreikebaum S, Guarneri E, Talavera G, et al. Evaluation of a holistic cardiac rehabilitation in the reduction of biopsychosocial risk factors among patients with coronary heart disease. Psychol Health Med. 2011;16:276–90.
- Suls J, Martin R. Heart disease occurs in a biological, psychological, and social matrix: cardiac risk factors, symptom presentation, and recovery as illustrative examples. Ann Behav Med. 2011;41:164–73.
- McIntyre T, Pereira MG, Soares V, et al. Escala de Ansiedade e Depressão Hospitalar. Portuguese experimental version. Braga, Portugal: Universidade do Minho; 1999.
- 20. McIntyre T, Johnston M, Gouveia J, et al. Resultados psicossociais na reabilitação pós-enfarte do miocárdio em mulheres Portuguesas e Escocesas. Braga: Universidade do Minho; 2004. Contract No. FCT POCTI/ESP/35749/2000.
- 21. Carney RM, Freedland KE, Steinmeyer B, et al. History of depression and survival after acute myocardial infarction. Psychosom Med. 2009;71:253–9.
- 22. Freedland KE, Carney RM, Rich MW. Effect of depression on prognosis in heart failure. Heart Fail Clin. 2011;7:11–21.
- 23. Mendes de Leon CF, Czajkowski SM, Freedland KE, et al. The effect of a psychosocial intervention and quality of life after acute myocardial infarction: the Enhancing Recovery in Coronary Heart Disease (ENRICHD) clinical trial. J Cardiopulm Rehabil. 2006;26:9–13.
- **24.** Straub R. Health psychology: a biopsychosocial approach. New York: Worth Publishers; 2007.
- 25. Gallagher R, McKinley S. Anxiety, depression and perceived control in patients having coronary artery bypass grafts. J Adv Nurs. 2009;65:2386–96.

- **26.** Lau-Walker MO, Cowie MR, Roughton M. Coronary heart disease patients' perception of their symptoms and sense of control are associated with their quality of life three years following hospital discharge. J Clin Nurs. 2009;18:63–71.
- Orth-Gomer K. Behavioral interventions for coronary heart disease patients. Biopsychosoc Med. 2012;6:5.
- 28. Roest AM, Zuidersma M, de Jonge P. Myocardial infarction and generalised anxiety disorder: 10-year follow-up. Br J Psychiatry. 2012;200:324–9.
- 29. Scherrer JF, Chrusciel T, Garfield LD, et al. Treatment-resistant and insufficiently treated depression and all-cause mortality following myocardial infarction. Br J Psychiatry. 2012;200:137–42.
- McIntyre TM, Fernandes AC, Araújo-Soares V. Intervenção Psicológica na Reabilitação pós-enfarte do miocárdio: um esforço interdisciplinar [Psychological intervention after myocardial infarction: a multidisciplinary effort]. Psicol Saúde Doenças. 2000;1:3–10.
- 31. Petrie KJ, Cameron LD, Ellis CJ, et al. Changing illness perceptions after myocardial infarction: an early intervention randomized controlled trial. Psychosom Med. 2002;64:580–6.
- 32. Fernandes AC, McIntyre TM. Intervenção Psicológica Multimodal em pacientes na reabilitação pós-enfarte do miocárdio. Rev Bras Promocão Saúde. 2006:19:74–83.
- Frasure-Smith N, Lesperance F. Depression and cardiac risk: present status and future directions. Postgrad Med J. 2010;86:193-6.
- 34. Martens EJ, de Jonge P, Na B, et al. Scared to death? Generalized anxiety disorder and cardiovascular events in patients with stable coronary heart disease: the Heart and Soul Study. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2010;67:750–8.
- 35. Shibeshi WA, Young-Xu Y, Blatt CM. Anxiety worsens prognosis in patients with coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;49:2021–7.
- Roest AM, Martens EJ, Denollet J, et al. Prognostic association of anxiety post myocardial infarction with mortality and new cardiac events: a meta-analysis. Psychosom Med. 2010;72:563–9.
- 37. Lichtman JH, Bigger JT, Blumenthal JA, et al. Depression and Coronary Heart Disease: recommendations for screening, referral and treatment: a science advisory from the American Heart Association Prevention Committee of the Council on Cardiovascular Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, and Interdisciplinary Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research: endorsed by the American Psychiatric Association. Circulation. 2008;118:1768–75.
- **38.** Von Kanel R. Psychosocial stress and cardiovascular risk current opinion. Swiss Med Wkly. 2012;142:w13502.